NCLDS Steering Committee Recommendations re: NCLDS Board Committees and Workgroups

Data Governance Committee

Recommended Role

Recommend policies and processes for NCLDS management of and Requestor access to data

- 2. Recommended Areas of Focus/Topics for Committee Consideration
 - Data access policies and guidelines; e.g.:
 - Data request approval criteria (Note: TS has drafted an Approval checklist)
 - Whether and, if so, how to vet external data requestors (e.g., through a preapplication process)
 - Whether and, if so, how to prioritize requests when the system receives multiple requests (e.g., by requestor type [Agency, Gov's Office, NCGA, external researcher, etc.]? by first-come, first-served? etc.)
 - Data approval process (i.e., actual mechanical process of a data request review—workflow stages, progress-tracking for each request moving through the decision-making process, etc.); e.g.:
 - Data request review process (steps, participants, etc.)
 - Specific process for appeal of a denied request (per 4.d, Contributor Memorandum of Understanding)
 - Oversight of fulfilment of approved requests
 - Resolution of whether NCLDS will require only a single, cross-Contributor DUA or separate DUAs with each Data Contributor
 - Whether there are any circumstances in which NCLDS should consider a fee for service
 - Policies re: review and dissemination of results of approved projects
 - Data availability; e.g.:
 - User-specific limitations for certain elements (e.g., Agency has access to full birthdate, but external researcher receives month/year only, etc.)
 - o Growth of/changes to NCLDS Contributor data available to the system
 - Data standards; e.g.:
 - Data privacy standards
 - Data security standards (e.g., recommendations for GDAC's approach to security of NCLDS data processes)
 - Standards for ethical use of data
 - o Required training for requestors on any or all of these standards
- 3. Recommended Representation

DHHS: Hayley Young

NCDPI: Diane Dulanev

NCCCS: Elizabeth Stoddard

• UNC: Mark Umbricht (alt: Diane Marian)

NCICU: Vicky Humphreys

• Commerce: Meihui Bodane



Roy Cooper Governor

Data Quality Committee

1. Recommended Role

Recommend NCLDS data quality policies and processes

- 2. Recommended Areas of Focus/Topics for Committee Consideration
 - Development of a Data Quality lexicon and definitions for NCLDS purposes (e.g., definitions of data quality, accuracy, consistency, etc.)
 - Development of Data Quality branding scale (Note: TS has drafted a Quality branding scale)
 - Verification of NCLDS data accuracy, completeness, timeliness, validity, & consistency
 - Identifying and defining (internally and via data dictionary) known data element limitations
 - Policies/procedures for elevation & resolution of NCLDS data quality issues
 - o Prioritization of cross-sector data elements most in need of attention
 - Whether to include (and, if so, how) standardized versions of elements common across Contributors (e.g., use of <u>CEDS</u>, development of data dictionary, development of crosssector common elements [in addition to, not instead of, existing within-sector element definitions], etc.)
 - Data-matching procedures/pathways
 - o UID
 - Enterprise Entity Resolution / NC e-Link
 - Matching non-NCLDS datasets to NCLDS data
- 3. Recommended Representation

DHHS: Tanya Morgan

NCDPI: Amy Powell Moman

NCCCS: Kristopher Roark

UNC: Giana Malak (alt: Laura Bageant)

NCICU: Carrie Mata

• Commerce: Oleksandr Movchan



Other Committee/Workgroup Recs; e.g.:

1. Steering Workgroup:

- Continue as a non-public Workgroup, with regular (monthly or bi-monthly) meetings
- Maintain flexibility to invite non-members as needed, but continue to honor individual members' requests for member-only meetings, too
 - o To that end, clarify current membership
- Maintain flexibility of ED's ability to shape each meeting as needed
- Take on responsibilities detailed below ("Other Possible Workgroups") until assigned to a formal Committee or Workgroup

2. Data Privacy Committee:

- For now, per above, keep as part of the Governance Committee
- Consider: Form a separate Committee down the road based on recommendations of Governance, once that Committee has had time to better understand their role and time commitment

3. Other Possible Workgroups

- Keep all of these as down-the-road possibilities for now; could also be ad hoc
- Also, these groups do not have to be separate entities; it might make sense in some cases to form a new Committee or Workgroup that tackles two or more of these categories of tasks
 - Strategic (Implementation) Planning Workgroup—Potential tasks:
 Refinement/operationalization of LDS Purpose, Goals, measurable short- and longer-term Outcome, Outputs, etc. Also, reflect on the future directions, products, and components of NCLDS (could be separate committee/workgroup)
 - Research Agenda & Project Alignment Workgroup—Potential tasks: NCLDS Areas of Focus and specific Research Agenda items; research plan, approval process details not outlined in EO/MOU or addressed by Governance Committee
 - Products, Reporting, & Visualization Workgroup—Potential tasks: Help design and vet standard reports and dashboards
 - o Communications & Outreach Workgroup—Potential tasks: TBD
 - Technical Architecture Workgroup Most likely internal to GDAC/SAS, with recommendations presented to Steering/Committees

